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## ABSTRACT

Project "A Warn Welcome," in the lāt of a 3-yeā funding cycle, provided instruction in English as a Second Language (ESL) and Spanish language skills, as well as bilingual instruction in mathematics, science and sociai studiés, to 250 Hispañc studeñ́s at Bushwick Eigh School, Brookirn, New york. Thé principal goais of the program were to expedite the acquisitiou of those engish ianguage skills necessary for full mainstreaming by the seniō year of high school, and to provide career awareness training. Title Ví funds provided for administrative and support services staff, while instructional services and paraprofessional assistance were funded from other sources. The project developed an integrated core curriculum for est, science; social studies, mathematics, and career awareness. Student supportive services consisted of college and career preparation; individual counseling, and referrals to outside agencies. staft development and parent participation activities were aiso supported by project Adelante. Student evaluation data indicate that, with the exception of mathematics performance, participants achieved significant gains in English language skills; in Spanish reading, and in content areas and businessfvocational courses. The attendance rāta for program students was also significantly higher than thāt of the general school population. (GC)
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## A SUMMARY OF THE EVALUATIOM

FOR PROJECT "A RARM DELCGME" BUSHAICX RIGH SCHCJL 1982-1983

Project "A Warm Welcome," in the final year of a three-year funding cycie, provided instruction in E.S.L. and Spanish language skills, as well as bilingual instruction in mathematies, science, and social studies to approximately 250 Hispanic students of limited English proficiency. Three guarters of the project studemts were born in puerto Rico and the Dominicūn Republic. Students varied in their English language proficiency, ability in the native languge; and overaip academic preparedness.

The principal goals of the progran were to expedite the acquisition of those English language skills necessary for full manstraaning by the senior year of high school and to provide career awareness training. The program's transitional approach was based on the philosophy that students who recofve content-area instruction in the native language while taking E.S.L. courses would be prepared for higher education or entry into the job market.

Ticle VIl fends supported administrative and support services
staff. Instruckional services and paraprofessional assistance were funded from other soureete the project developed an integrated core currientin for E.S.t. scionce, social studies, mathematics, and career awareness. Supportive sergites to program students consisted of college and carest preparation, indofdual counseling, and referrals to outside agencies. oevelopment activities for staff members included monthly department metings, on-site werishops. attendance at outside conferences and university courses. parents of program students were involved in Echool-wide and program cultural events and in the ad hoc parent Advisory Comittee.

Students were assessed in English langage development (C̄riterion Referenced English syntax Testr) in Spanish language mastery (Pruebá de Lectura) and in the content areas of mothematics, sicience, and soctal studies (teacher-made tests): Career awarenoss was assessed through a project-made questionnaire, but there is a question about the relifability of that instrument. Stüdent attendance was abtained from school and program records. Quantitative analysis of student achievement and attendance indicàtes that:
--Program students mastered $2 . \overline{0} \overline{7}$ CREST objectives for each month of E.S.L. instruction in the fall and 1.25 objectives per month in the spring; thereby meeting the proposed criterion level.
--In Spanish reading progràm students àt each test level scored sigmificantly higher on the post-test, well beyond the targeted 0.05 level of significance.

- In content-areà subjects, prograg students achieved oppraji passing rates of over 70 percent in science, secial stưties, and business/vocational courses in the fall and in social studies and business/vocational courses in the spring. Performance in mathematics courses was lower with passing rates of 50.4 percent in the fall and 56.9 percent in the spring:
--Program students improved significantiy frou s- to posttest on à teacher-made questionnire of eareer amareness.
--At tendance for program students was significantly greater than the attendance rate of stucents in the school as a whole.

The following recommendations are aimed at improving the overall effectiveness of future programs serving similar populations:
$=$ Incorporating specific career-oriented skills; such as
computer science into the core curriculum;

- Revising the program-developed cuesticnnaire used in the ćareer awareness component to proúuce a relfable instrüment for assessing student growth in this areā;
$=-$ Conducting a formal needs assessmer- for staff at the beginning of the program to deternige staff agvelopment priorities;
--providing additional services to promote and facfitate pärentál invoivement in program activities.
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## I. CONTEXT

Busthaick High School is a zoned school located at the northern edge of the Bedford Stuyresant section of Brooklyn. it draws its students from the Bushwick area as well as from parts of williamsburg; BedzordStuyvesañ, ind cast New York. A nearby subway státion (Myrtle Agenuef Wyckoff Avenue) provides easy access to the school for most of the students.

The neighborhood is an econowically depressed area facing ongoing problems of erjme, substance abusé, arson, buflofing abardonment. poor nealth, and unemployment. There are limited job opportunities due to minimal industrial and business activity. Existing housing is run down and often overcrowded. housing construction, which was started during the first year of the program, was naltad, friggering another round of resident exodus. This resulted in an overall decinē iñenrollment at Biestwick High School, diminished resources for the school,
and a partial replacement of the population at the low end of socioeconomic scale that needed more services.

The school has a 33 percent turnover rate: Many recent arrivals from Centrá fomerica, the Caribbean Islands, and South Antrica see their
 neighoorhood:" the majority population in the school's attendarce area is Kispanic with black Americans constituting the only other major group. In this ethnic enclave; person can function almost totally in the native language in everyday life. The project students tend to reflect this language pattern in their consistent use of Spanish with peers and family. particularly in informal settings.

Busnmick High School is an older, institutional type buflding that blends into the neighborhood contexs it serves. The school atmosphere is pleasant and welcoming: Several members of the faculty are former Bushwick gradsates who share a sense of logalty and pride for the school. The original bilingual program coordinetor was himself a resident of the the area and very actively involved in its comminty lifé.

During the lást ten years; the school resources have been geared to serving studeniss of limited Engilish proficiency (LEP). The school papalation was 64 perzent Alspanic at the begtnning of the bilingual program and thá proportion has increased. the fajtal services for the LEP population consisted of special classes to develop Englisn languge skills: men the schocl recelved iss first bilingual education grant; fébenan to develop comprehensive bilingual high school program
based on the guidelines established by the Mew York state Education
 incorporation of à strong career awareness component into the schooi's bilingual program.

## II. STUDENT EHARACTERISTIES

## LANGUAGE AND ETHMICITY

The trend in the ethnic composition at Bushwick Hign School is towards a decrease in the non-Hispanic population (34 percent in the first program year 30 percent in the second year; and 29 percent in the third year). Table 1 presents the ethnic/racial composition of Bushack High School in October, 1982.

TABLE 1
EthniçRacial Composition of Bushwick High School ${ }^{\text {á }}$

the Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CRESI). Other data were gathered from interviews and student profile sheets.

Table 2 shows the country of birth of program students; for whom information was reported, for the three program years. The largest representation, over 40 percent, was from Puerto Rico.

TABLE 2 Number of Program Students by Country of Birth

| Country of Birth | Number of Students |  |  | Percentage of Program |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Year |  |  | Program Year |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Puèrto Rico | 115 | 97 | 79 | 45.3 | 51.3 | 42.0 |
| Bominican Republic | 80 | 54 | 58 | 31.5 | 28-6 | 30.9 |
| Ecuador | 23 | 12 | 8 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 4.3 |
| Hondüras | 7 | 5 | $=$ | 2.8 | 2.6 | = |
| Colombia | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | . 5 |
| Ei Salvador | 5 | 6 | 9 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| Nīcarāguã | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Costa Rica | 2 | - | 1 | . 8 | - | . 5 |
| Bolivia | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | - | . 4 | . 5 | - |
| Panama | 1 | 3 | 6 | .4 | 1.6 | 3.2 |
| Spain | $-$ | 1 | $=$ | $=$ | - 5 | = |
| Haiti | = | $=$ | 8 | - | $=$ | 4.3 |
| United States | 4 | 1 | 11 | 1.6 | . 5 | 5.8 |
| TOTAL | 254 | 189 | 188 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

AGE, SEX, AND GRADE
Project students of ten faced academic problems resulting from a variety of life situations such as interrupted schooling, mobility between countriés ōr neighborhoods, and need to find employment. These circumstances resulted in having students in à given grade one or more years older than the norm. Projsmen students who were uncomforedie or self-conscious about being in ciasses with younger students were referred to high scnool equivalency and basic skills programs in the commuity. These programs have been highly successful in graduating overage students. Once referred; the project's guidance counselor maintaned contact with the agencies concerning the progress of the students sent to them.

Table 3 shows the number of "Á Warm Welcome" students by age and grāe for the three program years. It ālso shows the number and percentage of students overage for their grade.

TABLE 3
Number of Program Students by Age and Grade

|  | Grade 9 Program Year |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } 10 \\ & \text { Prográm Year } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Grade 11 Program Year |  |  | Grade 12 Program Yeàr |  |  | Total Number Program Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 3 |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |  |  | - | - | 1 | - |
| 13 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | - |
| 14 | 2 | 18 | 5. | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |  | $=$ | 2 | 20 | 5 |
| 15 | 14 | 32 | 23. | 1. ${ }^{3}$ | 123 | $5$ | - | = |  |  |  | - | 15 | 47 | 28 |
| 16 | 43 | 39 | 21 | 16 | 31 | $22$ | 2 | 16 | 6. |  |  | - | 61 | 86 | 49 |
| 17 | 29 | 15 | 10 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 17. | 20 | 26 |  |  | - | $\overline{6} 5$ | 51 | 47 |
| 18 | 23 | 5 | 10 | 22 | 9 | 9 | 21 | 20 | 17 |  |  | 1 | - $6 \overline{6}$ | 34 | 37 |
| 19 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 6 | 30 | 13 | 19 |
| 20 | 1 | $\bullet$ | - | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| 21 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | $=$ |  |  | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | - | 1 |
| 22 | - | $=$ | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |  | - | 2 | $=$ | = |
| Total | 120 | 112 | 71 | 72 | 79 | 52 | 60 | 65 | 59 |  |  | 10 | 252 | 256 | 192 |
| Overage <br> Students: <br> Number | 104 | 60 | 43 | 55 | 31 | 25 | 41 | 28 | 27 |  |  | 9. | 200 | 119 | 104 |
| Percent | 87 | 54 | 61 | 76 | 39 | 48 | 68 | 43 | 46 |  |  | 90 | 79 | 46 | 54 |

Note- Shaded boxes indicate the expected age rangf:for each grade.
"A Warm Wèlcome" students wèrē ēvenly distributed bétween males and females. Table 4 shows the number and percentages of students by sex and grāe fō the three program years.

TABLE 4
Number and Percent of Program Students by Sex and Grade

|  | Grade | Number <br> Male Students | Percent of Grade | Numbèr <br> Female <br> Students | Percent of Grade | Total Number | Percent of All Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 9 | 65 | 54 | 55 | 46 | 120 | 48 |
| R | 10 | 38 | 53 | 34 | 47 | 72 | 28 |
| 1 | 11 | 7 | 45 | 33 | 55 | 60 | 24 |
|  | TOTAL | 130 | 52 | 122 | 48 | 252 | 100 |


| 9 | 57 | 50 | $5 \overline{6}$ | 50 | 113 | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 41 | 51 | 39 | 49 | 80 | 31 |
| 11 | 31 | 47 | 35 | 53 | 66 | 25 |
| TOTAL | 129 | 50 | 130 | 50 | 259 | 100 |


| 9 | 35 | 49 | 36 | 51 | 71 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 20 | 38 | 32 | 62 | 52 | 27 |
| 11 | 23 | 39 | 36 | 61 | 59 | 31 |
| 12 | 5 | 50 | 5 | 50 | 10 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 83 | 43 | 109 | 57 | 192 | 100 |

TABLE 5
Time Spent in the Bilingual Program
(As of June 1983)

| Time Spent in Bilingual Program | Grade 9 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Number } \\ \text { Grade } 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | of Students Grade 11 | Grade 12 | Totā ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 Ácademic Yeàr | $\overline{9}$ | $\overline{3}$ | 7 | 0 | 19 |
| 1 Academíc Year | 52 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 89 |
| 2 Academic Years | 9 | 23 | 20 | 7 | 59 |
| 3 Academic Years | 0 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 20 |
| 4 Academic Years ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 71 | 51 | 59 | 10 | 191 |

$a_{\text {rounded }}$ to the nearest year.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {reflects }}$ participation in previous bilingual program.

- Of the students for whom information was provided, 57 percent had been in the bilingual program for ione year or less.
-Twenty students had participated in the program for three years.


## III. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
Project "A Warm Welcome" espoused the transitioná philosophy of bilingual education whereby students receive content-area instruction in the nàtive language while studying English às à second language so that they can continue with higher education or enter the occupational structure with equal access and benefits.

As implemented; the program had the following goals: Instructional objectives

- To improve the ability of participañs tō read, wríte, and speāk in English;
--To increase the Spanish language proficiency of participants;
--To enable participants to function in content-area classes on a lēvel comparable to mañotream classes.

Non-Instructional objectives

- To increase career awareness in participants;
-- To increáse the àt tendance rate of partićipants to à level higher thān thé regular school's rate;
$=$ To lower the dropoout rate among participants to a level lower than that of the regular school.
--To develop innovative curriculum materials using a coordinated approach to skills acquisition in reading and writing, math; and career awareness;
- Tó develop materials for content-area courses with a balanced use of English and Spanish;
--To incorporate career guidance into the E.S.L. and content-area materials:

The achievement of these objectives wās to be seen in the number of project studerits who would pass the Regents competency tests by June 1983 (at least one-tifira) in the attendance and drop-out rates for project students as compared to those of the regular school; and in the completion of the core curriculum for E.S.L.; math; science, and social studies: A detailed listing of the program's instructional objectives is included in the Appendicēs.

ORGANIZATION ANO STAFFING
"A Warm Welcome" was placed under the supervision of the assistant principà for foréign languages. The project coordinator was responsible for ail program admiñstrative activitues. In addition to the coordinator, the tiele vil program staff included a bilingual curriculum coordinatora bilingual guidance counselor; and a bilingual secretary.

An impartant function of the project coordinator was to set up bilingual education ciasses according to need. Requests were made to the appropriate assistant principals of subject areas. These assistant principals ássigned quachers for the designated bilingual classes, and were responsible for supervising them.

The school administration showed a great deal of interest and support for the bilingual program- The assistant principals supervised the bilingual teachers within their own departments and worked closely with the project coordinator. Bilinguà materials were kept within the appropriate subject departments- bilingual guidance; too, took piace within the school guidance department. Yhe program was seen by the
administration as strong asset to the school. The evaluation reports were discussed at school staff meetings.

In its three years of operation, the project tias been coordinated by three staff members, two of whom occupied the position in the last project year. Although the administrative level of the project continued to run smoothly, the changes affected the science program. Due to a shortage of licensed bilingual science teachers; the project assigned a non-bilingual science teachér to the chàss and used dilingual students to transiate and assist. In the second semester the project assigned a Spanish-speaking teacher licensed in English and trāned in physical education science. This arrangement worked much better for the students. Other changes were minimal and without consequence.

Tables 6 and 7 provide data concerning the educational and experiential backgrounds of the project support staff and of the instruc= tional staff directly serving project participants.

TABLE 6

## Project Staff Characteristics

| Position | Eduration | Certification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Years } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | of Expe E.S.L. | ience Bilinqual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Coordinātor (Fall) | R.A. English M.A. English | $\begin{aligned} & N_{-} Y_{-} C_{-} \\ & \text {English } \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 16 | 4 |
| Program Coordinator (Spring) | B.S. French <br> M.A. French <br> M.S. Counseling <br> Ph.D. Counseling | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \cdot \bar{Y} \cdot \bar{C} . \\ & N \cdot Y \cdot S_{0} \\ & \text { French } \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 6 | 16 |
| Bīinguál Curriculum Coordinator (Spring) | B.A. Sócial Studies | $\bar{N} \cdot \bar{Y} . \bar{C}$. <br> N.Y.S. <br> Bil. Social <br> Studies <br> E.S.L. | 6 | $31 / 2$ | 6 |
| Bilingual Guidance Counselor | B. $\bar{A}$. French; Russian M.A. French. Guidance | $\bar{N} \cdot \bar{Y} . \bar{C}$. N,Y.S. Bil. Güidarice Erench E.S.L. | 16 | 4 | 4 |
| Bílingual Secretary | A.A. Sécretarial Science | $\bar{N} \cdot \bar{Y} . \bar{C}$. Bilingual Secretary | $31 / 2$ |  | $31 / 2$ |

TABLE 7
Instructional staff Characteristics

| Assignment | Education | Certification | Years of Experience |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.S.L. | M.S. | E.S.L. | 6 |
| $\bar{E} . \overline{S . L}$. | M. ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {a }}$ | E.S.L. | 17 |
| E.S.L. | $\bar{M}, \bar{A}$. |  | 8 |
| $\bar{E} \cdot \bar{S}_{\text {S }} \mathrm{L}$ - | B. A $_{\text {- }}$ | English | 5 |
| E.S.L- | M. $A_{\text {- }}$ | E.S.L. | 1 |
| Bilingual Math | B.S. | Sociài Studies | 29 |
| Bilingual Math | M.Ed. | Bílingual Màth | 8 |
| Sócial Studies | $\overline{\mathrm{M}}$ - $\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{\text {- }}$ | Social Stuodes | 8 |
| Social Studies | B. ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | Spanish | 2 |
| Spanish | M. ${ }_{\text {A }}$ - | Biology | 7 |
| Spanish | B.A. | English | 9 |
| Spanish <br> Language Arts | M.A. | Spanish | 15 |
| Educational Assostant | B.A. | = | 5 |
| Educational Āssistant | $=$ | $=$ | 2 |

## FUNDING

"A Warm Wécome" was supported ly a variety of funds. Table 8 lists the program personnel, all non-instructional, funded by Title Vil. Table 9 lists the instructional personnel funded by several other sources:

TABLE 8
Non-Instructional Personnel: Furding Sources

| Nōn-Instructional Component | Funding Source | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Personnel } \\ & \text { Title } \end{aligned}$ | Personnel Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adminiseration and Supervision | Title VII | Project Coordinator | 1.0 |
| Curriculum Development | Titie VII | Cupriculum Coord!nator | 0.6 |
| Süpportive Sērvices; Parental and communfty Involvement | Titte vil | Guidance Counselor | 1.0 |
| Other | Title VII | Secretary | 1.0 |

TABLE 9
Instructional Personnel: Funding sources

| instructional <br> Component | Funding <br> Sources | Number Teachers | of Personnel Paraprōfesstōnāls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.S.L. | Chaptēr I/P.S.E.N. | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| Reading (Efiglishn) | Tax-tevy | 2.2 |  |
| Native Languagr (Spanistr) | Chapter I/P.SEEAN. Tax-Lewy | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 |
| Mathematics | Chapter I/P:SoE.M. Tax-Lev | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Social Studies | Tax-Lety | 2.0 |  |
| Science | Chapter i/PSEN Tāx-Lèvy | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Other | Tax-Levy | 2.0 |  |

IV. INSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT

INSTRUCTIORAL OBJEETIVES
The program aned at increasing the studants' level of English language skilis. This was done primaptiy through English as a second language classex. The acquisition of reateng skills was meanared through the CREST, white the cauistion of writing skilis was measured through weekly samples of orfting matched to a teachermade chackift of objectives.

A second objective was to inerease the level of Sosmish proficiency for participants: This was done primarily throwg elassroom instruction in native languge studies and Spantsh for mative spcaders. The lexel of proficiency was measured using the interamerican Seriess, Là Prueba de Lectura.

The third objective was equable students to maintajn performance levels in content areas comparable to manstream students. This was done through bilingual instriction in content areas. Results were assessed by the rumber of students receiving passing grades in contentarea classes.

STUDENT PLACEMENT
The project powitation was heterogeneous in its levels of academic cometence necessitating individualked programing and instruction. The range of itteracy in both English and Spanish extended from illiterate to beyond grabe level. Many students had not received any formal schooling in their native country while others received schooling comparable to what twey would have received in the United States.

Students were selected for the program based on their performance on the LAB test. Once in the program, students were tested for levels of English and Spanish proficiency and placed in language classes according to thàt level. They were programed for content-a rea cilassés according to the requirements of thér individual programs. The guidance counselor worked with individuăl students on programming.

## E.S.L. INSTRUCTION

Given the project's priority of preparing students for evtrance into the mánstream curriculum- E.S.t. instruction was à vitál component. "A Warm Wéçome" was sensitive to the linguistic and culturaj character= istics of its students and fostered a sense of acceptance and diversity. This, in turn, translated into an openness on the part of students to acquire English skilis without the feeling of surrendering their own language/culture in the grocess.

The E.S.t. sequence consisted of six lévels couvering éiementary, intermediate, àdvanced, and transitional ćlasses pius a special ciass ín preparation for the Regents language competency test (L. $\bar{R}, \bar{C} . \bar{T}$.$) . All$ classes adhered to an Englishoonly policy in the classrom. The teachers had special training in E.S.l. techniques and were cerified in the field. The several classes observed confirmed the teachers' competence in the area. A paraprofessional was assigned to work with individual students within the E-S.t- class.

The E.S.L: program at Bu:hwick emphasized integration of language and content throughout the curriculliminather than a dichotomized approach Whereby language development is considered sepàrate from the content
àrea presented through it. This integrative approach, used in the curriculum developed by the project, facilitāted consistency and reinforcement and diminished fragmentation.

Table 10 shows the courses offered in the E.S.L. program in the third year. Except for the R.E.T. class; which was added in the third year; the program is typical of the first two years as well.

## NATIVE LANGUAGE STUDIES

Students coñtinued $\mathfrak{t}$ o develop linguistic skilis in their own language that could later be transferred to the second language. The native language program also served to fulfill the New York State foreign language requirement.

The project conducted a native language studies program con. sisting of eight levels from elementary to advanced. The first four levels were exclusively for project students. In general, students from Central America and the Dominican Republic were at a low literacy level; students from Puerto Rico were at an intermediate level; and students from South America were advanced. Levels 5 to 8 were open to all students who qualified. All clāsses met five periods à week.

Table 11 shows the courses offered in the native language studies p $\bar{p} \overline{0} \bar{g} \mathrm{ram}$.

TABLE 10

Instruction in English äs a Second Language (1982-83)

| Course | Average |  |  |  |  | Curriculim or Materials in use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title \& Level | Semester | Number of Classes | Class Register | Clāssēes Per heek |  |  |
|  | Fall |  | 27 |  | Description |  |
| E.S.L. 1 | Spring | 2 | 16 | 10 | Elementary Es $\mathrm{S}_{\text {L }}$ L. | Access to English I Real Stories 1 |
| E.S.L. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fan } \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10 | Elerentary E.S.L. | access to English II Real Stories II |
| E.S.t. 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & \text { Soring } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ 21 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | intermediate E.S.L. | Graded Exercises in Englishs: Thirty Passages |
| E.S.L. 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{42}{13} \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | Intermediate E.S.L. | Composition Practice |
| E.S.L. 5 | Spring | 1 | 7 | 5 | Advänced E.S.L. | Uriting Power |
| E.S.L. $5 / 6$ | Fall | 1 | 10 | 5 | Advanced E.S.L. | Hriting Power |
| L.R.C.T. | Spring | 1 | 13 | 5 | Preparation for Regency Competency tests | Reeding Improvement; Writing/Reading Achievement, omposition Practice |
| English Tl/2 | Fall | 3 | 32 | 5 | Elementary E.S.L. | English Step by Step; Profiles |
| Englisht If | Spring | 1 | 28 | 5 | $E^{\prime}$ mentary E-Sot: | English Step by Step with Pictures |
| English it | Spring | 2 | 25 | 5 | Elementary EiSiL: | Profiles |
| English T3/4 | Fall | 2 | 36 | 5 | Intermediatē E.S.S.L. | ACcēss to English TII Turning Point |
| English T3 | Spring | 1 | 35 | 5 | Intermediate E. Sichio $^{\text {a }}$ | Access to English iji |
| English Ti | Spring | 1 | 22 | 5 | Intermediate E.S.L. | Turning Point: Reading, Uriting Improvement |

Notē: Fall classes were attended by program students exclusively. In the spring semester; non-Aispanic LEP students also participated in E.S.L. instruction.

TABLE 11
Instruction in Native Language Studies (1982-83)

| Course Title \& Level | Semester | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of } \\ \text { Classes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Classes Registér | Descróription | Curriculum òr Máterials in Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native Spanish 1 | Fall | 1 | 25 | Elementary E.S.L. Mat- Language Arts | Espanol $\overline{5}$ |
| Native | Fall | 1 | 27 | Elementary Spañish |  |
| Spanish 2 | Spring | 1 | 26 | Nat. Language Arts | Espanol 5 |
| Native | Fall | 1 | 38 | Intermediate Sp. | Espanol 7: Lengua |
| Spanish 3 | Spring | 1 | 20 | Mat. Language Arts | y Líteratura |
| Native | Fall | 2 | 18 | Intermediate Sp. | Conozca Sü |
| Spanish 4 | Spring | 2 | 21 | Mat. Language Arts | Idioma |
| Native | Fall | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 42 | Spanish Regents | El Espanol es |
| Spanish 5 | Spring | 2 | 20 | Lēvel III | Nuestra tengua |
| Native | Fall | 2 | 29 | Spanish Regents | Espanol Para el |
| Spanish 6 | Spring | 1 | 26 | tevel 111 - $\overline{\text { a }}$ dv. | Bilingue; Leyendas Latinoamericanas; Spanish 3ýr Review |
| Native | Fall | 1 | 38 | Advanced Spanish | Del Solar Hispanico |
| Spanish 718 | Spring | 1 | 33 |  | Leyendas Puertórriquenás |

## INSTRUCTION TRROUGH THE RATIVE LANGUAGE

Coursēs in mathemátés, science, and social studies ware offered in Spanish in grades 9 to 11: however; none was taught entirely in the native language: Generally, ninth-grade courses used more Spanish; the perceñage of Englísh used increased as students moved up in grade. Observations of content-area ciasses indicated that teachers used several methods for à bilingual approach: back-to-back transiation of concepts; máin díscussiōn in spanísh wín key terms transiated into and oefined in English, etc. Every attempt was made to stick to the proper percentage mixture of languages: Students tended to use Spanish in class discussion while information was recorded in English. Others read in English but discussed the materials in Spanish.

Table 12 shows the courses offered in the program and the languages of instruction for each course. The coursess were designed especially for project students. However, students in the regulap school progràm who dīd not féel fully competent to handle a class in English, and felt they sould better prepare for the Regents by taking the bilingually-taught class were allowed to register:

All classes met five periods a week and were taught without any paraprofessionā dssistance. The materials used cōrresponded to the manstream curriculum and were appropriate for the students' reading levels.

## MAINSTREAMING

The program aimed at mainstreaming all its students by the end of the eleventh grade. Malnstreaming before the completion of the elēventh grade wàs encouraged by the program and recommended when coursés were successfully compléted àt grade level and the student had mastered E.S.L: Level 6.

A checkpoint existed at the end of the tenth grade when partial mainstreaming begañ. students who achieved proficiency in English were identified through the results of the annual LAB and CREST tests. from course grades, and from teachers' observations: Parents; too, were involved in the decision to mainstream. A few parents requested mainstereaning béfore the students were ready ad a few others préferred thàt their children continue taking content-áreá courses in Spanish past the time they needed tō. But, for the most part, parents concurred with the program's recommendations.

TABEE 12
Bilingual linstruction in Content Areas (1982-83)

| Course Title and Lévè | Senester | Mumber of Classes | Average Register | Percent of Spanish ised | Eriteria for Selection of Students | Percent of Material in Spanish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funddinental Mith $A$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fanll } \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { neytur } \\ \frac{36}{25} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 90 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | Placement Test | 60 |
| Fundamental Math B | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Fall } \\ \text { Spring } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 70 | Placement Test | 60 |
| Funndinenetal Math 1 | Fall | 1 | 31 | 70 | Placement test: examination of | 60 |
| Fundamental Math 2 | Spring | 2 | 22 | 70 | vien; teacher | 60 |
| Hinth Year Math 1 | Simin | 1 | 35 16 | $\frac{65}{60}$ | recomendation | $\frac{50}{10}$ |
|  | FIII | 1 | 19 | 50 |  | 50 |
| Minath Year Rath \% | Spring | 1 | 18 | 50 |  | 40 |
| Hath Lab | falime | ? | ${ }_{21}^{13}$ | 65 | Placenent test | 60 |
| General sciêfice 1 | Fall | 3 | 23 | 80 | Examination of records | 50 |
| Ceneral science? | Spring | 2 | 23 | 65 |  | 50 |
| Fundmmental |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biology 1 | Fall | 2 | 29 | 50 |  | 25 |
| Fund direntr Biology 2 | Spring | 2 | 33 | 50 | " | 25 |
| 61063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| History 1 | Fall | 2 | 31 | 65 | " | 60 |
| Grobal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| History? | Spring | 1 | 37 | 65 |  | 60 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Globad } \\ & \text { History } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fall | ? | 32 | 65 | * | 68 |
| hmerican History 1 | Spring | 2 | 33 | 50 | * | 60 |
| American History 2 | Spring | 1 | 18 | 30 | " | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | " |  |
| Goverrment | fall | 2 | 34 | 65 |  | 60 |
| Economics | Spring | ? | 32 | 60 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 60 |
| Typing 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Binion } \\ & \text { Spring } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 50 | oiplone requirement; student reguliement | 50 |

The manstreaming process included helping each student with placement. Some students were placed in the Coperative Education Prroram and in the College Bound Program. Both of these provided speciā assistance in th nursuit of future careers. Some were sélected for the BRAVO Program wni , accessed highly technical and academic training not available at Bushwick High School.

Mánstreamed students functioned successfally in the school's regular program. Many of them continued to identify with the program and rēturned for supportive sérvicess on an informal basis. They became


## V. NON-I NSTRUCTIONAL COMPONENT

## CURRICULUM AND MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT

Objectives
"A Warm Helcome" aimed to develop innovative curriculum matèriāls using a coordinated approach to the acquisition of skills in reading: writing, and mathematics às well ás career awāeness one of the character istics of these materials would bè à proper balance between Spanish and
 would be the incorporātion of career guidance into the regular classroom instruction.

Cōntent-Ārea Materials
The teachers in the bilingual content-area program developed materials on ácontinuing basis adhering to the percentage ràtio between English and Spanish prescribed by the project for each subject areaAt minimum, the materials took the form of a rexographed sheet reinforc= ing concepts tnrouǵr Spanish that appeared in English textbooks Marerials were kept in the offices of the respective subject-area departments.

## Caree: Awareness Materials

During the last two gears of the program; "A Warm Welcome" developed and administered a career awareness testing finstrument. The orject was to ássess students' cáreer awareness throughout their high school experience- in previous years; the instrument had not shown sígnificant differences between prē and post-test scores. Thē projec̄t
staff questions the reliability of the test and realizes that the instrument needs to be further revised and tested. These materiās àre kept in thē guidancē officē.

## Incegrated Core Curriculum Around Career Lessons

The project completed work on its career integrated curriculum in the summer of 1982. The material is meant to inform students and offer direction on options avallable to them based on their academic and linguistic skills. The māteriāls were used throughout the 1982-83 school year and were obsérved in use in English ciasses.

One intermediate level English class used a selection on ájrports which was part of a larger unit on transportation careers. The dialogue section was used for oral language practice. The selection also developed vocabulary, provided a reading comprehension exercise; and emphasized specific points of grammar. A section of the unit dealt with "bridging the two languages;" fō examplé, c̄lāifying the non=cognate meanings of parientes in Spanish and parents in English. The discussion centered àround careérs.

The material suggested the development of deas for content-area classes. For example:
--Currency exchange and arithmetic problem solving using
a traveling context for mathematics classes;
=-History of airplanes and the air traffic controllerṣ'
strike for social studies courses:

- Whāt mákés plães fly and the weathér conditions áffecting flying for science coursés.

The writing objective for this intemediate class was to write a paragraph based on a topic sentēncē. Thè sāmé māterial developed for the advanced English class substituted a full composition for its writing objective.

## STAFF DEVELOPMENT

Most of the bilingual staff for "A Harm Helcome" were highly experienced in bilingual education. Its professional developanent continued with special focus on the school's bilingual program.

One àspect of staff development took place in the school itself. Áli project téachers had a préservice orientation session to become familiar with the goals and implementation of the program. They participated in four different workshops prepared especially for parents of students in the program. E.S.L. teachers attended workshops three times each térm to discūss teaching techñáues and materials àn see demonstrā tion lessons. All personnel met once a month for departmentā meetings. In the program's third year there were two sessions on "How to Teach Writing. ${ }^{\text {W }}$

Professional development also occurred outside the school. Different members of the program staff attended conferences such as those sponsored by the National and State Associations of Bilingual Education, By the New York city Office of Bilingual Education, and by Columbia Teachers College: Hispanics in the 80's. They also attended professional meetings such as those of the Anerican Association of reachers of Spanish and Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL). The guidance counselor attended conferences on career education.

A third aspect of staff development consisted of university courses: The paraprofessional staff pursued courses leading to associate àd taccalaureate degrees while teachers went for a variety of advanced degress in colleges and universities all over the city.

The project coordinator rated most staff development activi= ties as "somewhat effective" and less than 20 percent of them às "very effective" in terms of the program. The project. had intendea to conduct à formal needs assessment fōr staff development but did not accomplish it.

## SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

The project served over 250 students each term- The faculty and administration prided themselves on fostering an environamen of high expectations for the students including early college preparation and career awareness development.

Most direct suppoft was provided through the guidance office. During the first project year. à counsélor and a family assistant were supported by title vil funds; only the guidance counselor was provided during the last two years. Career and college counseling were the main responsibllity of the counselor- she also helped in preparing students for entering the mánstream curriculum, articulated with service agencies in the communty on behalf of students needing thêp assistance, and participated in support system activitiés for students. The program staff considered guqdance services to be vital part of the successful support system provided to the students.

The counselor scheduled group sessions to explore coping strategies for the problems of adjusting te the secia! and school systeas in the American culture. She moderated a panel discussion about the college experience in which five former gustwick bilingual students participated. She hēld private sessions with students and family members to ássist with individual behavioral, personàl, or damily problems. She contacted homes in an effort to find truants.

Part of the support system came from other students. The school has à Peer Tutoring Program and a large number of project students acted as tutors or received assistance in various academic subjects. The other peer group was the friendship Club run exclusively for project students.

Project personnel also assisted in extracurricular activities involving project students; such as participation in the school's pān American festival, trips, ō talent shows.

## PARENTĀL INVOLVEMENT

"A Warm Welcome" established a functioning link between prográm/ school and parents during the first year of the project. The coordinator, who was long standing member of the Bushwick çommunity and active in
 mantalned the program-home linkage. The project organized a program advisory commitee, once-a-term workshops on educational topics, and a G.E. $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$. class.

The level of parent involvenent decreased once the project's family assistant position was deleted in the second year. The advisory
comatee continued to meet ad hoc as needed and the parent workshops were held with dininished attendance. Throagh the guidance counselor: parents continued to participate in essential activities such ás dealing with special problems of students and deciding with the school on mainstreaming.

## AFFECTIVE DOMAIN

The praject aimed to foster an environment that wouid make students feel welcome in the school and eager to make the most of its educationai resources. The results would be seen in an attendance rate nigher and a dropout rate lower than that of the regulár school. It would also snow in students' increased awareness of career opportunities.

## "Helcome" Factors

The "Warm Welcome" environment began with the Friendshio Club where new students were received into the "family." The club originally served as d venicle for facilitating adjustment to the school experience. but duping the next two years it expanded its objectives to include a more várīed ànd structured schedule. Ás such the clūb àlso served to develop leadership in student members. Interviewed culub officers expressed enthusiásm and commitment tō the ćlub's goals. The school's College Bound program is now considering organzzing a friendship club for its own students.

Several project staff had a counseling or human commincation orientation and tended naturally to deal with the students from human relationship perspective. It was a committed staff that ndid not look at the clock." The guidance counsélor nád a load of 250 students (compared to 450 for regular school counselor). Much of the support
system for the students was based at the guidance office: Career counsel= ing and related field trips were handled there:

Students were coached into extracurricular activities. The project cüriculum coordinator (later progran coordinator) was also the advisor for the Arista honor society in the school and recommended project students to it. Students also joined Aspira and athletic teams.

## The 1983 Students

Twenty-six senior students who had been maninstreamed from the bilinguāl program were followed up through graduation in June 1983. Twenty= three were planning to átend specific colleges (mainly city colleges). one was going to cosmetology school, and two had obtanined employment. The college contingent planned to pursue such majors as architecture, engineering, psycnōogy, business admiñríration, computer science, and secretarial studies: Three of the students ranked among the top ten in the graduating class. The following awards were given to this group at graduation:

4 builingual medals for achievement;
1 Honors Society scholarship (\$100);
2 Honors society scholarship pins;
1 gold pin for service to the school:
1 reading improvement award;
1 spectal achievement award;
2 Senior Arista Society school pins.

Of the sixty students in the Arista Honor socieqy, fifteen were from "A Wām Wélcome." Three éleventh graders will be mainstreamed into
te Honors English class for journalism＝a first for the bilingual program．Another eleventh grader received à $⿴ 囗 十$ ． $\bar{F} \cdot \bar{T}$－scholarship．Each week the project entered candidates in the school－wide student of the week contest：several program students won the award．

A large number of project students participated in sports teams． One of the students，a member of the school＇s wresting team，became city－ wide champion this year．Six project students were sitting on the student governing body of Bushwick High School－

At school awâds night on June 15；1983；＂Á Warm Welcome＂students were hōorè às follows：

> 3 awards to students who exemplified the program fone for each grade);

5 awards for improvement in E．S．L．；
3 àtendance awards；
$\overline{1}$ best school spirit award．

## Student Attrition

Many students who left the school either returned to their native country or moved to another neighborhood．Often the school was not notified so that the student could be discharged through normal channals

Project students were more stable than the mainstream population． The program achieved its greatest stability by the third year when i＊ reported no drop outs．The staff felt that the one－on－one E．S．t．instruc－ tion，the supportive services；and the＂family＂feeling of conesiveness were contributing factors：

Tablé 13 presents the number of students leāving the program during each project year and the reasons given for leaviñg．
table 13

Number of Students Léarling the Program

| Reàsōns fō Leaving | Griade 9 |  |  | Grade 10 |  |  | Grade Il |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Year |  |  | Program Year |  |  | Pregram Year |  |  | Program Year |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Fülly Māinstreamed | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Transferred to Another Program or Another High School | 11 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 19 | 7 |
| Returned to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Country | 7 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 3 |  | 11 | 12 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { סischarged } \\ \text { (Reasōn Unknown) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 1 | . | 5 | . | 1 | - | - | 1 | 13 | 1 | 2 |
| Truant | 4 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 18 |  |
| Other | 12 | 4 | - | 12 | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 30 | 22 | - |
| Total | 42 | $4 \overline{4}$ | = | 25 | 11 | = | 13 | 15 | $=$ | 80 | 76 | - |

-Most students reported leaving the program did so at the ninth-grade level.

- Truancy is evident only at the ninth-grade level.

V1. STUBENT ACHIEVEMENT; ATTENDANCE; AND DROP=OUT RATE

The following section presents the assessment instruments and procedures ūsed in evaluating the attanment of program óbjectives.

## ACQUISITION OF ENGLISH SYNTAX

The assessment instrument utilized for measuring the attain= ment of the first three instructional objectives (see Appendices) was the Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test (CREST). The CREST was developed by the New York City Püblic Schools to measure mástery of instructional objectives of the E.S.L. curricula at the high school level. There are four items for each objective; and mastery of an objective is achieved when three of the items are answered correctly. The test has toree levels: beginning (I); intermediate (II), and advanced (1II): The maximum score on Levels I and II is 25 ; while the maximum score on Level Ill is 15.

Mean differences between pre=test and post-test are computed to represent the gain scors, and an index which represents the number of objectives mastered per month is calculated. However, since the levels àre not equated verticālly, it īs īmossible tō measure gains for students who change levels. Extensive information on CREST objectives and psychometric properties appears in the Technical Manual, New York City English ás a Second Language Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test.

The CREST was avininistered at the beginning and end of both the fall and spring semesters. Tabie 14 present the test results for students

[^1]who were pre: and post-tested with the same test level during each semestér:

Data were missing or incomplete for 134 students in the fall semester and for $\overline{7} \overline{5}$ students in the spring semester. Analysis of CREST dātā were performed in àccordance with revised program objectives of mastering an average of one objective per month for each of the three CREST levels: Examination of Table 14 reveals that the program objectives were achieved for each of the three CREST levels for the fall semester: Level I students màstēred an àverage ō 2.6 .8 objectives per monten; Level it students mastéred an average of 1.86 per month; and Level Ill students mastered an average of $\overline{1} . \overline{3} \overline{2}$ objectives per month.

In the spring semester; program objectives were met for Level I (an average of 1.49 objectives mastered per month) and for Lével il (an average of 1.22 objectives per month) students. Lével ill students mastered less ( 0.61 objectives) than the program objective of one CREST objective per month àverage-. The fálure to àttaln the objective is in part a function of the high initial standing of this Level Ill group: over half of the students had initially mastered over 67 percent of the Lēvè lill CREST objectives.

The program also proposed that students would demonstrate significant improvement in English writing ability by mastering an average of one objective per month on à teacher-made individuā student checklist (see Appendices). Since data for this objective were not àvailable, achievement in this area could not be analyzed.

[^2]TABLE 14

## Results of the Criterion Referenced English Syntax Test

(Spanish-Speaking Students; Pre- and Post-Tested
ōn Sàme Tést Level)
Fāl


## NATIVE LANGUAGE REĀDING AND COMPREHENSION

The assessment instrumerit used to measure gains in reading and writing in Spanish was the Prueba de Lectura, (Totā Reading, Level 2; Forms A anc B). The Prueba de tectura is part of the Interamerican Series of Tests published by Guidance Testing Associates: The purpose of the series is to evaluate achievement in English and in Spanish for Spanish-speaking students from the Western hemisphere; Test items were selected for cultüral rélevance tō both Ānglo and hispanic cultures. However, the publishers recommend that local norms be developed for the tests. Information on psychometric properties may be found in Guidance Testing Associates Examiner's Manual. Prueba de Lecturā; St. Mary's University, One Camino Santa Mariā, San Antonió, Texās 78284.

In accordance dith instructional objectives 5, 6, and 7 (see Appendices) datá were collecté ón three levels of the prueba de Lecturā. Pre-test and post=test means and standard deviations àre presented in Table 15; for the $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ program students (representing $\mathbf{7 6 . 6}$ percent of the total) who took the exams. In conjunction with the program objectives, státistical tests were performed to determine whether post-test means differed significantly from pre-test means (correlated t-test model was used) for each of the three levels of the test. For each of the three levels; the students as a group scored significantly higher on the post=tests (Table 15), well beyone the targeted 0.05 level.

Another index of improvement, the effect size ( $\bar{E}_{\bar{G}} \bar{S}_{\mathbf{O}}$ ), was computed by dividing the difference between the means by the standard deviation of the differences between pre-test and post-test scores for
each individual. This provides an index of improvement in standard deviation units and a change of 0.5 standard deviation units or higher is generally considered tō bè à meaningful change. As prēsented in Tāble 15; èfect size for all levels wàs extremely large, ranging from 1.37 to 1.61 indicating a substantial improvement for each group on the post-test.

## TABEE 15

Native tanguage Reding Achievernent
Treatment on the Pruebbd de Lectura, forms $A$ and $B$, by Tesest tevel

| Level | Pre-Test |  |  | Post-Test |  | Hean Difference | Cors. Prepost | ${ }_{\text {T. }}^{\text {Test }}$ | Lēvel of Significance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effect } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Standard |  | Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | II | Mean | Devidition | Hean | Devilation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23 | 53,17 | 16:04 | 11,39 | $20 ; 91$ | 18.22 | .863 | 1.66 | <0.000 | 1.59 |
| 11 | 63 | 60.03 | 17.82 | 81.00 | 21.88 | 20.96 | .123 | 10.91 | 0,0001 | 1.37 |
| III | 61 | 70.15 | 23.62 | 91.7 | 23.95 | 21.56 | .842 | 12:56 | <0:0001 | 1.61 |

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS; SCIENCE; SOCIAL STUDIES; AND BUSINESSNGOCATIONAL COURSES

Table 16 presents the passing rates for program students en in mathematics; science; social studies; and business/vocational courses by grade in the fall and spring. Since comparison data for the general school population were unāailable, the data for objective 8 (see Appendices) were analyzed agànst à minimum requirement that 70 percent of students enrolled should pass the courses in each content area.

In the fall semester; this criterion was achieved for courses in science ( 79.8 percent passing ratè), social studies ( $7 \overline{4} . \overline{2}$ percent), and business/vocational ( 83.1 percent), but not for mathematics courses ( 50.4 percent passing raté). In the spring, the criterion was achieved for social studies ( 74.6 percent passing raté) and business/vocational ( 90.6 percent) courses, but not for mathematics ( $5 \overline{6} . \overline{9}$ percent) and science ( 61.2 percent) courses.

Number of Spanish-Speaking Program Students Attending Gourses and Persent Passing Teacher-Made Examinations in Content-Area Subjects ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Fall

| Content Area | Grade 9 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grade ll |  | Grade 12 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\%}{p} \\ \text { Passing } \end{gathered}$ | $N$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Passing } \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Passing } \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \overline{\text { Passsing }} \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\%} \\ \text { passing } \end{gathered}$ |
| Mathematics | 44 | 40.9 | 37 | 56.8 | 41 | 51.2 | 5 | 80.0 | 127 | 50.4 |
| Science | 38 | 68.4 | 25 | 88.0 | 26 | 84.6 | 5 | 100 | 94 | 19.8 |
| Social studies | 43 | 53.5 | 37 | 86.5 | 46 | 82.6 | 6 | 83.3 | 132 | 74.2 |
| Business/Vocational | 8 | 62.5 | 5 | 60.0 | 29 | 93.5 | 12 | 80.0 | 59 | 83.1 |
|  |  |  |  | Spri |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mä thematics | 44 | 61.4 | 44 | 50.0 | 39 | 59.0 | 3 | 66.7 | 130 | 56.9 |
| Science | 26 | 50.0 | 36 | 50.0 | 29 | 19.3 | 7 | 85.7 | 98 | 61.2 |
| Social Stuales | 42 | 59.5 | 42 | 71.4 | 52 | 86.5 | 6 | 100 | 142 | 74.6 |
| Businessjyocational | 17 | 80.9 | 36 | 94.7 | 40 | 90.9 | 13 | 92.9 | 117 | 90.6 |

dMathematics courses include remedial mãth, generā āath, prē̄algabbra, algēbra, geometry, eleventh-year math, computer math; and "other." Science courses include qeneral sciencé, hagiene; blology; and "other:" Soctal studies courses include Anerican culture; economics; American and world history, and world geography. Businesss and vocational courses inelude record keeping, typing; art, music, home economics; and "other."

## CAREER AMARENESS

Improvement in career awareness was assessed through analysis of pre- and post-test performance on a teacher-made questionnaire: In conjunction with the program objective of obtaining significant improve= ment, pre= and post-scores were compared, using the correiated t-test modè. Table 17 presents the data analysis illustrating that post-tést scōres wére signíficañty híghē (p<.0001) than pre-test scores.

## TABLE 17

Student Performance on a Teacher-Made Career Awareness Questionnaire
Significance of the Totāl Scorē Différence Bētween Initiāl and Fināl Tést Scorés on à Teàcher-Mäde Cāreer Awareness Questionnaife

| $\underline{N}$ | $\text { Pre }=$ | Test Stāndárd Deviatiōn |  | -TEest Standard Deviation | Mean Différence | Corr. Prejpost | TLest | Level of Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 | 13.75 | 4.49 | 18.31 | 3.96 | 4.56 | . 686 | 18.16 | $<0.0001$ |

## STUDENT ATTENDANEE

The average total attendance rate of program students ( $n=192$ ) is presented and compared with the school-wide attendance rate ( $n=1,929$ ) in Table 18. Since the attendance rate for progràm students was included in the school-wide attendance rate, a special procedure was used in computing the usual statistical test for a significant difference between two proportions in evaluating objective 9 (see Appendices). In the $\underline{z}$ test formula below, P is the attendance rate for program students and $\underline{P}$ is the expected proportion (the school's attendance raté). At tendance is considereá a dichotomous variable as it has the two values "present absent."

$$
\bar{z}=\frac{\bar{p}=p}{\sqrt{\frac{P Q}{n}}}
$$

As seen table 18, attendance for program students was signifi= cañly greater (p<,0001) than the rate of attendance for the entire school population.

OROP-OUT RATE
Program records indicate that none of the program students dropped out düring the 1982-83 academic yeàr. Dāta for the entire school population were unavailable for the comparative analysis.

TĀBLE 18

Significance of the Difference Between the Attendance Percentage of Program Students and the Attendance Percentage of the School

|  | Number of <br> Students | Mean <br> Gercentage | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 71 | 82.97 | 15.40 |
| 10 | $\overline{52}$ | 86.75 | 14.95 |
| 11 | 59 | 89.66 | 11.98 |
| 12 | 10 | 95.7 | 2.94 |
| TOTAL | 192 | 86.71 | 14.24 |

Average School=Wide Attendance Percentage: 65.92

Percentage
Difference $\overline{=} 20.79 \quad \bar{z}=6.078 \quad p=$ र. 0001
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## VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

"A Warm Welcome" wās à solídis built bilingualfbiculturà program whose personnel demonstrated commitment; competence, and sensitivity toward the students it searved. The program's emphasis on basic skills development in the native language concurrent with intensive Engish as a second language offered students an integrated curcicular mode which prepared them for entrance into the mainstream. The added career awareness segment with its future potential as an actual course of study in a career sequence opened new wistas for students.

The project produced an integrated core curriculum that worked. Career guidance was incorporated into the core curriculum; but the emphasis was on basic skills. The next step in this sequence will be to incorporate skills needed after graduation more geared to the job markét. including computē science. Ā knowledgeable guidance department; as demonstrated by the "Warm Welcome" experience; would play a vital role.

The instrument for measuring results in the career awareness program needs to be revised to make it rellablé. Attention must be paid to the items used, the presentation; and the tésting procedures including the circumstances under which the test is administered.

Even when the project staff is experienced and the individua! members are continuing their own professional growth, it is important to formulate stāf development needs relative to the project itseif. A formal needs assessment would be helpful if conducted at the beginning ōf the project.

Given the many problems that limit parent involvement; the project had encouraging $==$ if limited $==$ success in this area. The availability of seryices te promote and facilitate involvement activities makes sense if this continues to be one of the roject's goals.

That project "Warm Welcome" achieved its main objectives is evident in the achievement of its first graduating class. Considering that only a decade ago the majority of LEP students in high school dropped out or barely made general diplomas; the record of the first project graduatés is impressive.
VIII. APPENDICES

## E. evaluation plan

- A Marm Welcome intends to develop for Bushwick High School an integrated bilingual-bicultural program that may serve às à demonstration center for secondary schools in other parté of New York city, New York State; and the country, especially secondary schools that are concerned with $\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{P}$. students' meeting new minimum competency requirements and experiencing career exploration, càreer awareness, career guidance, and career training. To valicate the accomplishment of this objective, the following evaluation plan has been developed and will be employed by the program and its evaluator, the office of Educational Evaluation of the New York city Board of Education:

1. The evaluation plan will consider the project's instructional. and training objectives, outlined below:

## ONE-YEAR INSTRUCTIONAL OBJECTIVES

Objective in: As a result of participating in the program, $80 \%$ of the students enrolled in E.S.L. $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{2}$ will demonstrate à significant improvement in their reading ability in English by mastering an average of one objective per month of treatment.
a. Instrument: C C. $\bar{R} . E . S . T$. (Critérion Referenced Engifish Syntax Test), El ementary Level.
b. Data Añalysis Procedure: Calculate the number of objectives màstēred per moñth.
-c. Time Sehedules/Staff Responsibilities: (Seee time-íne chart, below.)
 the students enrolied in E.s.L. 3 and 4 will demonstrate a significāñ improvement in their reading ability in English by mastering an average of two objectives per month of treatment.
$\overline{\mathrm{a}}$. Instrument: C.R.E.S.T. (Intermediate Level).
b. Data Anlysís Procedure: Calculate the number of objectives mastéred per month.
c. Time Schedules/Staff Responsianities: (See time-line chart; below.)
Objective $\overline{\text { 3 }}$ : As-a result of participating in the program; $80 \%$ of
 cant improvement in their reading ability in Engitish by mastering an àverage of one objective per month of treatment.
a. Instrument: C̄.r.E.S.T. (Advanced Level).
b. Data Analysis Procedure: Calculate the number of objectives mastéred per month.
c. Tine schedules/Staff Responsibilities:. (See time-line chart below.)
objective 14: As a result of participating in the program, $80 \%$ of the students enrolled in all E.S.L. classes will demonstrate à significant improvement in writing ability in English by mastering an average of one objective per month of treatment on à teacher-made individual student checklist.
a. Instrument: Writing folder for each stionent containing at least one sample of writing per week and a checkist of objectives appropriate to the level of the E.S.L. class.
b. Data Ānalysis Procedure: Calculate the number of objectives mastered per month.
ć. Time Schedulés/Staff Responsibilities: (See time-line chart below.)

Objective 5: As a result of participating in the programo $80 \%$ of the stưdents enrolied in N.L.A. 1; 2; 3; and 4 will demonstrate a -statistically-significant improvement at the . 05 level in Spanish language proficiency.
à. Instrument: Pruebā de Lécturāà Lével One.
5. Data Anāysis Procedure: Correlated t-test for significance of the difference between pre-test and post-test raw scores.
c. Time Schedules/Staff Responsibilities: (See time-line chart; below.)

Objective 16: As a result of participating in the program, $80 \%$ of the students enrolied in S.M. 5, 6, 7 , and 8 will demonstrate a státisticāly-sígnificant improvement át the ous level in Spanish language proficiency.
a. Instrument: Prueba de Lectura; Level Two.
b. Data Analysis Procedure: Correlated t-test for significance of the difference between pre-test and post-test rāw scores.
c. Tíme Schedules/Staff Responsibilitiés: (Seé time-line chart; below.)

Objective 7 : As a result of participating in the program; 80\% of the students enroiled in S.N. 9 and 10 will demonstraté à statisticailyo significant improvement at the . 05 level in Spanish language proficiency.
à- Instrument: Prueba de Lectura : Level Three.
b. Dàtā Anā̄ysis Procedure: Córréāted t-test for significance of the difference between prétest and post-test rāw scores.
C. Time SchedulesfStaff Responsitilities: (See time-line chār., below.)

Objective $88:$ As result of paricipating in the program, the -students encolled:in āll bilingual mathematics; social studies; and science classes will receive passing marks of at least $65 \%$ in these subjects at a level that does not differ significantly. from the level of non-program students in corresponding mainstrean ciasses.
à. Instrument: Fiñā marks appearing on permanent record cards bessed on teacher-made Final Examinátion and fiñal evāuation of performance.
b. Data Analysis Provedure: Test of the difference of two independent proportions.
c. Time Schedules/Staff Responsibilities: (See time-line chārt, below.)
 the students in the program will achieve a higher rate of attendance at school than the rate of attendance for the entre student popula Eion of Bushwick Righ School.
a. Instrument: school's attendance records.
b. Data Anālysis Procedure: Test of the difference between two percentages.
c. Time Schedūes/Staff Responsibilities: (See time-line chart, bèlow.)

Objective 110: As a result of participating in the program; 75\% of the students in the prograii will demonstrate a significant improvement in càreer awareness by mastering an average of one objective per wonth of treatment on a teacher-made questionnaire.
a. Instrument: Teacher-made questionnaire.
b. Data Anaīysis Procedure: Calculatee the number of objectives:

- mastered per month.
- $\bar{c}$. Time Schedules/Staff Responsibilities: (See timéiñe chart, Eetow.)
Objective \#11: As a result of participating in the program; the students in the program will hare a significantly lower dropout rate than the dropout rate for the entire student population of Bushwick high School.
a. Instrument: School's records of dischàrges.
b. Data Analysis Procedure: Test of the difference between two percentages.
c. Tine Schedules/Staff Responsibilities: (See time-line chart, below.)


## CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT ONE-YEAR OBJECTIVES

objective f12: The program's staff will develop innovative bilingual curriculum materials illustrating à coordinated instruc= tional approach to the "warm" skilis of writing, careers, reading. and mathematics.
a. Instrument: A portpolio of these curriculum materials wili be kept.


[^0]:    

[^1]:    "Board ef Education of the City of New York, Division of High Schools, 1978.

[^2]:    *The originā objectives, às wordē, díd not permit statisticzíanalysis.

